

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,023	03/26/2004	Norio Matsumoto	4415-0024	9819
35301 75	590 09/12/2006	EXAMINER		
MCCORMICI CITY PLACE I	K, PAULDING & HU	STAICOVICI, STEFAN		
185 ASYLUM	· <del>-</del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARTFORD,	CT 06103	1732		

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/811,023	MATSUMOTO E	MATSUMOTO ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Stefan Staicovici	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>30 Jui</i>	ne 2006.						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>									
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	• •					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' response filed June 30, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-16 are pending in the instant application. This application contains claims 6-16 drawn to a non-elected invention

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al. (US Patent No. 6,352,662 B1) in view of Nelson *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,143,236) and in further view of Renaudin *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,071,460).

Murphy et al. ('662) teach the basic claimed process for making a hollow fiber reinforced article including, providing a mandrel (50), wrapping said mandrel (50) with a bladder, wrapping said bladder with a plurality of fiber reinforced pre-preg plies (60,62) to form a wrapped assembly, placing said wrapped assembly in a mold (vacuum chamber containing a forming die), heating and pressurizing said pre-preg plies by introducing a pressurized gas through said mandrel, and curing said pre-preg plies to thereby form said hollow fiber reinforced article (see col. 5, lines 21-58).

Regarding claim 1, Murphy et al. ('662) does not teach applying a vacuum such that said plurality of fiber-reinforced pre-preg plies do not contact said mold. Nelson *et al.* ('236) teach an

internal pressure molding process for making a fiber composite shaft including drawing a vacuum onto a mold after the mold is closed and prior to pressurizing a bladder (see col. 4, lines 54-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a vacuum as taught by Nelson *et al.* ('236) in the process of Murphy et al. ('662) because of known advantages that a vacuum provides such as, reduced porosity, increased aesthetics and improved properties, hence providing for an improved product.

Further regarding claim 1, although Murphy et al. ('662) in view of Nelson *et al.* ('236) teach a vacuum, Murphy et al. ('662) in view of Nelson *et al.* ('236) do not teach that the fiber reinforced pre-preg plies are not contact with the mold. Renaudin *et al.* ('460) teach an internal pressure molding process for making a fiber composite shaft including allowing for a gap to exist between the internal mold surface and the pre-preg plies (see Figure 6A). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a gap between the internal mold surface and the pre-preg plies as taught by Renaudin *et al.* ('460) in the process of Murphy et al. ('662) in view of Nelson *et al.* ('236) because Renaudin *et al.* ('460) specifically teach that such a gap prevents damage to the pre-preg plies, hence providing for an improved product (see col. 10, lines 60-65).

In regard to claim 2, Murphy et al. ('662) teach providing a mandrel (50), wrapping said mandrel (50) with a bladder and wrapping said bladder with a plurality of fiber reinforced prepreg plies (60,62) to form a wrapped assembly (see col. 5, lines 21-58).

Specifically regarding claim 3, Murphy et al. ('662) teach heating and pressurizing said pre-preg plies by introducing a pressurized gas through said mandrel to thereby cure and form

said hollow fiber reinforced article (see col. 5, lines 21-58). Further, Lunde ('681) teaches a mandrel body having a plurality of fluid holes for drawing a vacuum and pressurizing.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Murphy et al. ('662) teach removing said mandrel (50) after wrapping said plurality of fiber reinforced pre-preg plies (60,62) and connecting a source of pressurized gas (52) directly to said bladder (see col. 5, lines 39-42 and Figure 3). It is submitted that said pressurized gas source (52), as shown in Figure 5, includes a mouth-piece in order to connect directly to said bladder.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al. (US Patent No. 6,352,662 B1) in view of Lunde (US Patent No. 6,692,681 B1) and in further view of Renaudin *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,071,460).

Murphy et al. ('662) teach the basic claimed process for making a hollow fiber reinforced article including, providing a mandrel (50), wrapping said mandrel (50) with a bladder, wrapping said bladder with a plurality of fiber reinforced pre-preg plies (60,62) to form a wrapped assembly, placing said wrapped assembly in a mold (vacuum chamber containing a forming die), heating and pressurizing said pre-preg plies by introducing a pressurized gas through said mandrel, and curing said pre-preg plies to thereby form said hollow fiber reinforced article (see col. 5, lines 21-58).

Regarding claim 1, Murphy et al. ('662) does not teach applying a vacuum such that said plurality of fiber-reinforced pre-preg plies do not contact said mold. Renaudin *et al.* ('460) teach an internal pressure molding process for making a fiber composite shaft including allowing for a gap to exist between the internal mold surface and the pre-preg plies (see Figure 6A) because such a gap prevents damage to the pre-preg plies when positioning the mandrel/bladder/pre-preg

Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

plies assembly in the mold cavity, hence ultimately providing for an improved product (see col. 10, lines 60-65). Lunde ('681) teaches a process for making a hollow fiber reinforced article including, providing a mandrel body having a plurality of fluid holes for applying both a vacuum and a pressurized fluid, placing a bladder (internal-pressure holding tube) around said mandrel to form a mandrel/bladder assembly, drawing a vacuum onto said mandrel/bladder assembly, wrapping under vacuum a plurality of fiber reinforced pre-preg plies around said bladder to form a wrapped assembly, placing said wrapped assembly in a clamshell mold, removing said mandrel body by applying a pressurized fluid to the mandrel body, and pressurizing said bladder while heating to thereby cure and force said fiber reinforced pre-preg plies against the interior of said mold (see Abstract; col. 8, lines 46-63; col. 9, line 32 through col. 10, line 30; col. 15, lines 47-58; col. 20, line 42 through col. 21, line 5 and col. 22, lines 8-44). Hence, because (1) a vacuum is applied to the mandrel when the mandrel is positioned inside the clam shell and, (2) the porepreg plies are forced into contact with the internal surface of the clam shell mold when the mandrel body is withdrawn, it is submitted that Lunde ('681) teaches applying a vacuum such that a plurality of fiber-reinforced pre-preg plies do not contact a mold. Therefore, in view of Renaudin et al. ('460) teaching a desirability of having a gap between the pre-preg plies and the mold, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a vacuum to the mandrel as taught by Lunde ('681) in the process of Murphy et al. ('662) because, Renaudin et al. ('460) specifically teach a desirability of having a gap between the pre-preg plies and the mold, thereby preventing damage to the pre-preg plies when positioning the mandrel/bladder/pre-preg plies assembly in the mold cavity in the process of Murphy et al.

('662) in view of Lunde ('681) and in further view of Renaudin et al. ('460), hence ultimately

providing for an improved product.

In regard to claim 2, Murphy et al. ('662) teach providing a mandrel (50), wrapping said

mandrel (50) with a bladder and wrapping said bladder with a plurality of fiber reinforced pre-

preg plies (60,62) to form a wrapped assembly (see col. 5, lines 21-58).

Specifically regarding claim 3, Murphy et al. ('662) teach heating and pressurizing said

pre-preg plies by introducing a pressurized gas through said mandrel to thereby cure and form

said hollow fiber reinforced article (see col. 5, lines 21-58). Further, Lunde ('681) teaches a

mandrel body having a plurality of fluid holes for drawing a vacuum and pressurizing.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Murphy et al. ('662) teach removing said mandrel (50) after

wrapping said plurality of fiber reinforced pre-preg plies (60,62) and connecting a source of

pressurized gas (52) directly to said bladder (see col. 5, lines 39-42 and Figure 3). It is submitted

that said pressurized gas source (52), as shown in Figure 5, includes a mouth-piece in order to

connect directly to said bladder.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2006 have been considered but are moot in view of

the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,023

Art Unit: 1732

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stefan Staicovici, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stefan Staicovici, PhD

Primary Examiner

ଏବା ୬ ଓ

Page 7

AU 1732

September 9, 2006